



Proposal of Brazilian National Program for Quality Control in the small animal PET scanners

Rodrigo M. G. Gontijo¹, Andréa V. Ferreira²,
Juliana B. Silva² and Marcelo Mamede¹

¹*rgadelha@ufmg.br, Department of Anatomy and Imaging,
Federal University of Minas Gerais, 30130-100, Belo Horizonte-MG, Brazil*

²*avf@cdtn.br, Radiopharmaceuticals Research and Production Unit,
Center of Nuclear Technology Development, 31270-901, Belo Horizonte-MG, Brazil.*

1. Introduction

Positron emission tomography (PET) is an important molecular imaging technology tool for preclinical studies. Small-animal PET scanner refers to imaging of animals such as rats and mice using specific PET scanner. [1] Because of widespread use and commercial availability of preclinical PET scanners, the National Electrical Manufacturers Association (NEMA) published its NU 4/2008 standards, a consistent and standardized methodology for evaluation of scanner performance parameters for small-animal PET imaging. [2]

Quality Control (QC) is a set of safety and performance tests executed periodically to assess whether the radiation measuring device continues to meet the requirements of current national and international resolutions and the reference values established during acceptance test [3]. QC is part of the quality assurance (QA) program that allows functional image acquisition for correct measurements and analysis.

In agreement with NEMA NU 4/2008 publication, small animal PET scanners performance should be evaluated by the following parameters [2]: i) Spatial Resolution; ii) Sensitivity; iii) Scatter Fraction, Count Losses and Random Coincidence; and iv) Image Quality, Accuracy of Attenuation and Scatter Corrections. The NEMA NU 4/2008 presents all the methodological parameters and needs for the small-animals PET scanners quality control.

In this context, it is important to perform a minimum set of quality tests for small animal PET scanners that confirms their performance or indicates the need for corrective maintenance [4].

In Brazil, currently there are six preclinical PET in use and a standardization of quality control protocols are needed to harmonize their use in the research field [5].

Thus, the aim of this work was to propose a National Program for Quality Control for the preclinical PET imaging systems in Brazil.

2. Methodology

This work was based on the quality control tests performed in Molecular Image Laboratory LIM/CDTN based on NEMA NU 4-2008 as described and presented in doctoral thesis of GONTIJO, 2019. [6]

3. Results and Discussion

Table 1 presents the set of quality control tests and their periodicity to evaluate preclinical system performance and to compound a small animal PET scanners National Quality Assurance Program (NQAP). All referred tests are based on NEMA NU 4/2008 publication.

Table 1 - Set of QC tests and respective periodicity to compound the Brazilian National Quality Assurance Program for small animal PET scanners. All tests are based on NEMA NU 4/2008 publication.

Test		Periodicity		Objective
Image Quality	Uniformity	A	Q	Verify the ability to quantify radioactive concentrations for quantitative analyses of preclinical studies.
	Spillover Ratio			Check accuracy against scatter corrections.
	Recovery Coefficient			Check the ability to recover radioactive concentrations in different structures.
Coincidence Events Rate	True Events	A	An	Check the rate of true coincidence events detected.
	Random Events			Check the rate of random coincidence events detected.
	Scatter Events			Check the rate of scatter coincidence events detected.
	Equivalent Noise Count rate (NEC)			Check the noise equivalent count rate (RNEC) detected.
	Count Loss			Check the loss count rate from the true event rate.
	Scatter Fraction			Check the rate of scattered coincidence events.
Sensibility	Percentage Absolute and	A	B	Check the intrinsic and geometric efficiency.
Spatial Resolution	Directions (Axial, Radial and Transverse) and	A	B	Check the spatial resolution in the 3 directions of the PET system.

Note: Abbreviations

A = Acceptance or after maintenance and/or correction services, or when the values are outside the tolerance range with respect to the reference value.

Q = Quarterly.

B = Biannual.

An = Annual.

It is important to mention that proposal of the NQAP was based on the CNEN NN 3.05 Publication (2013).

This proposal does not replace the manufacturer's recommendations or prevent the procedures for monitoring and calibration of imaging systems. However, includes fundamental tests to be adopted, if possible, in laboratory practice to corroborate the experimental data. It is important to consider that some NEMA NU 4/2008 test should be adapted due to intrinsic PET scanner characteristics.

The Quality Assurance Program implemented in LIM/CDTN is an innovative and unprecedented proposal in the scope of preclinical molecular imaging services in Brazil. Therefore, the LIM/CDTN Program was carried out as a pilot to evidence the applicability and viability of the NQAP using small animal PET scanners. An example of this can be easily found in references 6 and 7.

There is still no specific legislation for research laboratories focused on preclinical molecular images, unlike clinical nuclear medicine centers (CNEN NN 3.05, 2013).

The authors think that the absence of national guide weakens the importance of monitoring the performance of imaging systems and consequently the quality of the results. Thus, the NQAP proposed in this work can be a fundamental tool for the reliability of the data generated in molecular imaging laboratory.

4. Conclusions

Quality Control Program consists in perform quality control tests during the use of PET imaging systems and should be conducted at periodic intervals according to a previously standardized and established program. The Quality Assurance Program implemented in LIM/CDTN is unprecedented in Brazil.

This work presents a viable set of Quality Assurance tests and their periodicities like a proposal for standardization to harmonize the use of this imaging technology in the field of research. Therefore, a National Quality Assurance Program (NQAP) applied to small animal PET scanners.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the staff of the preclinical molecular imaging centers that collaborated to perform the tests. Especially LIM/CDTN; InsCer (PUC-RS) and LIM-43 HCFMUSP that welcomed us.

References

- [1] YAO R.; LECOMTE R.; CRAWFORD E. "Small-Animal PET: What is it, and why do we need it?" *Journal of Nuclear Medicine Technology*. vol. 40 n°3, pp.157-165 (2011).
- [2] NEMA - National Electrical Manufacturers Association. "Performance Measurements of Small Animal Positron Emission Tomographs." Rosslyn VA; 2008 Standards Publication NU 4-2008 (2008).
- [3] IAEA - International Atomic Energy Agency. "Quality Control Guidance for Nuclear Medicine Equipment. Guidelines of Radiation and Nuclear Safety Authority – STUK", ISSN 0780-9662 (2010).
- [4] CNEN - Comissão Nacional de Energia Nuclear. "Requisitos de segurança e proteção radiológica para serviços de medicina nuclear", Norma CNEN NN 3.05. December (2013).

[5] GONTIJO, R. M. G., FERREIRA, A. V., SILVA, J. B., MAMEDE, M. “Quality control of small animal PET scanner: The Brazilian Scenario”. *Brazilian Journal of Radiation Sciences*. vol. 08 (2). pp. 1-09. (2020).

[6] GONTIJO, R. M. G. “Proposta de Programa de Garantia da Qualidade para Imagem Molecular Pré-Clinica”. 2019. 275 f. Doctoral Thesis (Doutorado em Ciência e Tecnologia das Radiações, Minerais e Materiais) – Centro de Desenvolvimento da Tecnologia Nuclear, Comissão Nacional de Energia Nuclear, Belo Horizonte, In Portuguese, (2019).

[7] GONTIJO, R. M. G., FERREIRA, A. V., SILVA, J. B., MAMEDE, M. “Image quality assessment using NEMA NU 4/2008 standards in small animal PET scanner”. *Brazilian Journal of Radiation Sciences*. vol. 07 (2A). pp. 1-13. (2019).